down; Nine Americans missing; Thirtyfour foreign civilian kidnapped in Iraq; Five soldiers killed; April worst month for U.S. casualties; Pentagon extends tour of 20,000 troops in Iraq; Violence delays reconstruction projects; Ten U.S. soldiers killed across Iraq; U.S. troops in Iraq say Pentagon is failing to keep them equipped; Coast Guard seen as underfunded; General says Humvees are not up to the job in Iraq; Baghdad sniper kills American soldier; Ten U.S. troops killed on Thursday; Treasury agency has more employees tracking Castro than bin Laden; Eleven U.S. soldiers killed in four separate attacks by insurgents.

That is what our troops face and that is what Congress has done. We have an obligation to ask questions about Iraq and seek the answers. The Nation's standing in the world and people's lives are at stake. We have spent \$112 billion to date on the war and reconstruction of Iraq. We owe it to our constituents to ask questions and seek the answers that they are asking and seeking.

And the breaking news this afternoon, the Bush administration is asking for an additional \$25 billion without taking responsibility for what has happened to date.

As President Kennedy once said, to govern is to choose. While Congress has named its Post Offices and used the facilities for the Soapbox Derby here on the Hill, Americans at home have faced these headlines: Dow Chemical plans to cuts 3,000 jobs; Winn-Dixie plans to cut 10,000 jobs.

We can handle the Post Office naming and hold hearings into the whys and the hows of Iraq. We owe it to the people we represent. We are here to ask those questions and seek those answers.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MARIO DIAZBALART) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. MARIO DIAZ-BALART of Florida addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

ORDER OF BUSINESS

Ms. WOOLSEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to claim the time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from California?

There was no objection.

SMART SECURITY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from California (Ms. WOOLSEY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. WOOLSEY. Mr. Speaker, I would like to say regarding the death of Mary McGrory that I just met here a year or so ago. She sized me up and said, you look good, but let us see what you are made of. Well, tonight let us go.

Mr. Speaker, last week the House voted unanimously to allow military reservists and National Guardsmen to make penalty-free withdrawals from their retirement accounts when they are called up for an extended period of active duty. Penalty-free withdrawals from their very own retirement accounts.

This bill seeks to help the one-third of Reservists who took a pay cut when called to duty in Iraq. Every Member of Congress supported this legislation because everyone supports the brave men and women who selflessly sacrifice their time, their energy, and increasingly their lives on behalf of this country. But we should not be proud of ourselves for unanimously passing this legislation. Instead of patting ourselves on the back for allowing our Reservists to raid their retirement accounts penalty free. We should be doing much more for those who defend this country during times of war.

Last November this Congress passed outrageous legislation to fund the war effort to the tune of \$87 billion. That is on top of the \$78 billion in supplemental funds that was appropriated in March 2003, yet reports show billions of those dollars are being misused, misappropriated and some even stolen in Iraq. On top of that, the Pentagon today is asking for \$25 billion more in a 2005 supplemental package.

There is something drastically wrong when big companies like Halliburton and Bechtel get rich off the war effort, while the only riches paid to the Reservists who left their jobs to serve in the United States military are those that they raid from their own piggy banks.

There has to be a better way, and there is. One that emphasizes brains instead of brawn, one that is consistent with American values.

I have introduced legislation to create a SMART Security platform for the 21st century. SMART stands for Sensible, Multilateral American Response to Terrorism. It treats war as an absolute last resort. It fights terrorism with stronger intelligence and multilateral partnerships. It controls the spread of weapons of mass destruction with a renewed commitment to non-proliferation, and it aggressively invests in the development of impoverished Nations with an emphasis on women's health and women's education.

We need to shift our budget priorities to reflect the true needs of the American people. We must properly support the thousands of soldiers who sacrifice daily to serve and protect our Nation. SMART Security means equipping our troops with the tools essential to their survival, body armor capable of stopping bullets, armor for tanks that will help prevention the destruction of U.S. military conveys, and the necessary water equipment to keep the troops hydrated in the desert heat.

None of these things were funded fully, even after Congress approved \$155

billion in supplemental spending last year.

SMART security means creating a permanent post-conflict unit that provides the first layer of reconstruction in countries that have been devastated by war and/or by oppressive dictators like Iraq and Afghanistan. And SMART would mean funding all Army peace-keeping units devoted to studying and preparing for future peacekeeping missions.

The Bush doctrine has been tried, and it has failed. It is time for a new national security strategy. SMART Security defends America by relying on the very best of America. Our commitment to peace, our commitment to freedom, our compassion for the people of the world, and our capacity for multilateral leadership. SMART Security is tough, SMART Security is pragmatic, SMART Security is patriotic. SMART Security is smart, and it will keep America safe.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFAZIO) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. DEFAZIO addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

EXCHANGE OF SPECIAL ORDER TIME

Mr. McDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to claim the time of the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFAZIO).

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Washington?

There was no objection.

CYBERSTALKING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Washington (Mr. McDermott) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. McDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, America now knows the name of a woman who lives in my congressional district in Seattle. But she did not seek fame and would prefer anonymity.

Her name is Joelle Ligon. I rise to speak about her plight, her courage and the need for this Congress to act. Joelle deserves to live her life without fear, as everybody deserves. Unfortunately, Joelle's life was turned into a nightmare because of cyberstalking.

□ 1930

Today she is both a victim and an advocate, and she was invited to share her story the other day on "Good Morning America." It was not easy for Joelle, but she knew it was important to warn America about the dangers lurking online. Joelle was 15 when she met and dated an 18-year-old young man in high school. Nothing unusual about that. Eventually Joelle severed